## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Tohru SUGIYAMA, et al. 10/559,854 Group No. 2629 Serial No.: Filed: December 7, 2005 Examiner: R. Amadiz COLOR MONITOR WHITE CHROMATICITY MEASURING DEVICE For: Attorney Docket No.: U 016057-5 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT We draw the attention of the Examiner to the document listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449. A copy of the listed document is also enclosed. CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10\* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.) I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being: **MAILING** deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. 37 C.F.R. 1.10\* 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) as "Express Mail Post Office to Address" with sufficient postage as first class mail. Mailing Label No. (mandatory) **EFS-WEB** TRANSMISSION transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and transmatted. electronically Trademark Office. to (571)-273-8300

Date: November 14, 2008

Raymond A. DiPerna

(type or print name of person certifying)

\*WARNING: Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will **not** be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

Signature

The listed document (JP 10-173943) was cited in an Office Action (entitled "Reason of Refusal") mailed on October 7, 2008 from the Japan Patent Office in connection with corresponding Japanese Patent Application No. 2003-179241. A copy of the Office Action is also enclosed, as is an English translation of the portion of the Japanese Office Action that discusses JP 10-173943.

Accordingly, for the concise explanation of relevance of JP 10-173943, the Examiner is directed to the English translation of the portion of the Japanese Office Action mentioned above (see in particular Reason B of the Rejection), as well as to the English-language abstract of JP 10-173943 submitted herewith.

To the best of Applicants' knowledge, an Office Action on the merits has not yet been mailed by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and therefore no fee is believed to be due in connection with this paper. Nevertheless, if any fee is deemed to be due in connection herewith, please charge that fee to Deposit Account No. 12-0425.

## **CONCLUSION**

It is respectfully requested that the above information be considered by the Examiner and that a copy of the enclosed Form PTO-1449 be returned indicating that such information has been considered.

Respectfully submitted,

Raymond A. DiPerna c/o Ladas & Parry LLP

26 West 61st Street

New York, New York 10023

Reg. No. 44063

Tel. No. (212) 708-1950